Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada
Science | Sciences

C S A S | **S C C S**

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat | **Secrétariat canadien de consultation scientifique**

Research Document 2006/094 | **Document de recherche 2006/094**

Not to be cited without
Permission of the authors * | Ne pas citer sans
autorisation des auteurs *

Sentinel Surveys 1995-2006: Catch per Unit Effort in NAFO Subdivision 3Ps

Relevés sentinelles 1995-2005 – Prises par unité d'effort dans la sous-division 3Ps de l'OPANO

D. Maddock Parsons and R. Stead

Science Branch
Department of Fisheries and Oceans
Newfoundland Region
80 East White Hills Road
P.O. Box 5667
St. John's NL A1C 5X1

* This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of fisheries resources in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

* La présente série documente les bases scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat.

Les documents de recherche sont publiés dans la langue officielle utilisée dans le manuscrit envoyé au Secrétariat.

This document is available on the Internet at:
http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas/

Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à:

ISSN 1480-4883
© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2003
© Sa majesté la Reine, Chef du Canada, 2003

Canada

2008-04755/

ABSTRACT

Sentinel enterprises continued to collect catch rate and biological information on inshore 3Ps cod resources in 2005 and 2006. Gillnet catch rates (weekly average number of fish per net) in the most recent years remained low compared to 1996-1998 catch rates. Catch rates in small mesh gillnet remained low. Length frequencies of cod caught in small mesh gillnet showed fewer fish at the two size modes (36-44 cm and 52-56 cm) that this gear catches since 2000. Linetrawl catch rates (weekly average number of fish per 1000 hooks) increased from 2000 and showed an increase in the number of fish at the 44-54 cm size range from 2002 to 2004. In 2005, linetrawl catch rates declined, but preliminary results indicate an increase in catch rate in this gear for 2006.

RÉSUMÉ

Les entreprises de pêche sentinelle ont continué de recueillir des données sur les taux de prise et des données biologiques sur la morue côtière de 3Ps en 2005 et 2006. Depuis les dernières années, les taux de prise au filet maillant (nombre moyen hebdomadaire de prises par filet) sont demeurés faibles par rapport aux taux de 1996 à 1998. Les taux de prise au filet maillant à petites mailles sont restés faibles. Les fréquences de taille des morues pêchées au filet maillant à petites mailles montrent que moins de poissons des deux modes de tailles (36-44 cm et 52-56 cm) ont été pêchés depuis 2000. Les taux de prise à la palangre (nombre moyen hebdomadaire de prises par 1000 hameçons) ont augmenté par rapport aux valeurs de 2000, et le nombre de poissons de tailles allant de 44 à 54 cm pris dans le cadre de cette pêche a augmenté de 2002 à 2004. En 2005, les taux de prise à la palangre ont diminué, mais les résultats préliminaires indiquent qu'ils devraient augmenter en 2006.

INTRODUCTION

Sentinel survey projects were formally announced by the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans in October 1994. The surveys in the DFO Newfoundland Region are an extension of the index fishermen's project from the Northern Cod Science Project with modifications to allow for science activities achievable only under a fishing moratorium. Sentinel data collection has continued during the index fishery of 1998 and commercial fisheries in 1999-2005.

The sentinel survey has the following objectives:

1. To develop a catch rate series for use in resource assessments.
2. To incorporate the knowledge of inshore fishers in to the resource assessment process.
3. To describe the temporal-spatial distribution of cod in the inshore area over a number of years through, for example, the use of catch rate information, tagging studies, by-catch information and fishers' observations.
4. To gather length frequencies, sex and maturity data and sample ages for use in resource assessment.
5. To establish a long-term physical oceanographic and environmental monitoring program of the inshore areas.
6. To provide a source of biological material for other researchers. For example, tissue for genetic, physiological and toxicological analyses, cod stomachs for food and feeding studies and by-catch information.

Participants

The primary collectors of data in the sentinel survey are inshore fishers. Through consultation with inshore fishers and fisheries organizations, traditional inshore fishing grounds were identified and mapped, resulting in 16 locations in NAFO Subdivision 3Ps.

Fishers from communities within the boundaries of the identified coastal areas and who met eligibility criteria were invited to apply to participate in the survey. Where more than one application was received from an area, the project partner conducted a draw or lottery to select the participant. While there was considerable interest in the project in most areas, there were many sites from which only one application was received and others where additional canvassing was required to enlist participants. Selected participants were required to complete a six-week course designed by the Marine Institute of Memorial University in consultation with DFO. Topics covered included scientific sampling methods and equipment, computer use, resource assessment basics and presentation skills.

In order to minimize inter-annual enterprise effects on data collection, participants are expected to remain with the survey over a number of years. It is also expected that most of the sampling activities will continue once commercial fishing operations resume and the sentinel participants will form a core of index fishers.

Sites

Since 1995, participants from 19 communities have taken part in the Sentinel program. The specific location of each site was chosen after consultation between DFO scientists, fishermen and the Fish, Food and Allied Workers Union (FFAW). Site selection was based on the need to survey throughout inshore areas and targeted historical fishing areas and historical gear use patterns. Several sites no longer participate in the survey and in 2003 fourteen enterprises continued to collect information. From 2004 to 2006, thirteen sites continued with the program. In 2006, the enterprise in Francois retired and was replaced by another participant.

Sampling Strategy

The communities of the enterprises involved in the Sentinel survey, as well as the number of sets completed each year and the total number of weeks allocated, are given in Table 1. Survey activity was lowest in 2003, when several sites were cut from the program due to funding pressures and the number of weeks allocated for the survey was also reduced. The timing of sampling was determined after discussions with fishers but was targeted for seasonally appropriate times based on historical fishing patterns. There was minimal disruption of these time frames in 1999 through 2005 due to the opening of the commercial fishery.

There were no traps involved in Sentinel sampling in 3Ps in 1999, or 2002-2006; two traps were used in 2000 and three in 2001. Participants used either baited trawl lines or gillnets for the remaining weeks of the survey. Non-trap sites fished either baited trawls or gillnets for the full survey. While traps were in the water continuously, they were hauled three days per week. Hook and line and gillnet crews fished up to three days per week. Fishing days in the week were selected at the discretion of the crew and depend primarily on weather conditions.

When a cod trap was hauled, the crew estimated how much fish by weight had been caught, removed a random sample for biological sampling and released the remaining catch. Meshed and/or dead, floating fish were retained and brought ashore. Fishers were instructed to release as much live fish as possible.

Hook and line crews fished two tubs of baited linetrawl. Each tub consisted of approximately 500 hooks for a total of 1000 hooks per fishing day. Gillnet crews fished a maximum of six fifty fathom 5 ½ inch monofilament gillnets. Nets were rigged 2-3 to a fleet and up to three fleets were fished per fishing day. Selected sites fished one 3¼ inch monofilament gillnet tied to one 5 ½" gillnet one day per week. All fish caught in gillnets and on hooks were landed and measured. If catches exceeded 500 kg per week, the numbers of nets in a fleet were cut back. However, some consideration was given to bottom topography and net performance when reducing the number of nets in a fleet. Similarly, the number of hooks per tub was reduced if landings exceeded 500 kg per week. Other measures were considered if fish are particularly abundant in an area and catches appear to be excessive even with the minimal amounts of gear possible.

Prior to the start of sampling in 1995, a fixed (control) location on the fishing grounds was established for each site and will remain fixed for the duration of the project. Each fishing day, up to half of the gear was set at the control site. When competition with commercial fishers prevented setting at the control site, gear was set as close to the control grounds as possible. The remainder of the gear (experimental) was set at one or two other locations on the fishing grounds at the discretion of the crew. The location of each fishing set was plotted on a nautical chart. The time of the set and the soak time for the gear were recorded. Other environmental observations were recorded, including wind direction and speed, percent cloud cover, tide conditions, presence of invertebrates (bait) and other fish species in the area, marine mammals, sea birds and any other variables which might have influenced fishing behavior. Selected sites were equipped with a CTD (measuring temperature and salinity at depth). At these locations, casts were conducted in the vicinity of fishing sets each fishing day. CTD locations were fished for subsequent years if possible.

When the gear was retrieved, catches from the control and experimental gear were kept separate and sampled on shore. All fish from gillnet, handline and linetrawl, and a sample of the catch from traps, were measured for length and sex. Otoliths were sampled on a length-stratified basis and stored in manila envelopes with relevant information recorded on the outside. Every other week, selected sites collected a sample of up to 100 frozen fish. These were transported to St. John's for detailed biological sampling. All information was recorded on forms similar to those used by the Port Sampling Section and on DFO Research Vessels

Other biological samples were collected as needed.

Data Presentation

The data are summarized for all of 3Ps and presented by gear type. Summaries for each enterprise follow, in general, organized from east to west. This paper presents data for gillnet (5 ½" and 3 ¼" mesh) and linetrawl. The length frequency plots depict the number of fish at length scaled by total amount of gear fished so that changes in length frequency distribution may be compared across years. Lengths, in 1cm intervals, are from both control and experimental gear, and for gillnet and linetrawl represent every fish measured, as the total catch is measured. Length frequency summaries for NAFO division are shown as an average of the relative length frequencies for each fisher in the division. The second figure on each summary sheet gives catch details broken down by year, including total number of sets (Nhauls), number of sets in which no fish were caught (Nzero), and number of fish caught (Nmeas). The CPUE figures show control and experimental catches combined, in number of fish per net or per 1000 hooks by week and are constructed by calculating a daily catch rate for each set and averaging all the CPUEs for all sets in a given week.

RESULTS

For those sites in 3Ps collecting data in 2005 and 2006, plots summarizing Sentinel survey activity since 1995 are presented in figures 1-84. Thirteen inshore fishing enterprises representing communities from St. Bride's to Burgeo participated in the 3Ps Sentinel Survey for 2005 and 2006. A total of 330 sets of 5 ½" gillnet and 60 sets of 3 ¼" gillnet resulted in total measurements of 3 279 fish. Two hundred and thirty-five sets of linetrawl resulted in 11 341 measurements for 2005. Data collection is ongoing in 2006 and data presented for 2006 are current to the end of September.

Figures 1 and 2 show all set locations and catch per unit effort in scaled symbols that were surveyed up until the end of September in 2006 and figures 3 and 4 update cpue for those locations surveyed in 2005. Linetrawl and gillnet are shown separately. The locations of the control sites (fixed stations) were generally consistent from year to year but shifts in location may have resulted due to weather or tide conditions or competition for sites by commercial activity.

Figures 5 and 6 show the overall average CPUE (catch per unit of effort) for all of 3Ps and by community for 5 ½" gillnet and linetrawl. Gillnet CPUE is considerably lower in recent years in all communities. Linetrawl also declined from 1996-2000 but from 2001 to 2004 overall mean CPUE increased to about 100 fish per 1000 hooks. This increase was due largely to good catches of small fish in Burgeo and Ramea and some special sets on Burgeo Bank. As well, in 2002, the participant in Burgeo could not fish the required weeks in the first quarter due to mechanical failure. These weeks fished in previous years had lower catch rates and moderated the yearly mean CPUE. In 2005, linetrawl catch rates were slightly lower than previous years but preliminary data for 2006 show catch rates higher than the 2001-2004 level.

Figures 7 and 8 give average length frequencies scaled by amount of activity. Gillnet catches in 3Ps, compared to other NAFO areas (Fig. 7), were highest from 1995-1997. Since then, 3L has had higher mean catch rates and in recent years, 3K catch rates have also surpassed those in 3Ps. All areas have shown lower catch rates since 1998. For linetrawl (Fig. 8) catches declined in 3Ps from 1995-2000, improved to some extent during 2001-2002, and remained relatively stable since then.

Figure 9 shows mean relative length frequencies from 1995-2006 for the three main gears used for Sentinel. Five and a half inch gillnet catches declined steadily from 1996-2000 and have remained low since then. Three and a quarter inch gillnet also declined during this time with changes in which mode of fish size was dominant in various years. The mode of larger fish (second peak in the frequency) was highest in 1996 and much less prominent from 2000-2006.

The summary data for 3Ps gillnet (5 ½"), in Figures 10-36, give an indication of catch rate change since inception of the Sentinel Survey in 1995. Gillnets show the narrowest range of selectivity of

Sentinel survey gears, targeting fish in the 50cm to 80cm range. In general, catch rates from 5 ½" gillnets were lowest from 2001 to 2006, considerably lower than the best catch rates seen in 1996. Most sites in 2006 had low catch rates similar to those of recent years.

Small mesh gillnets (3 ¼") have been used in 3Ps since 1995 in order to get information on smaller size ranges of fish. Figures 37-57 summarize the results. One 3 ¼" gillnet (35 fathoms) was fished in combination with one 5 ½" Gillnet (50 fathoms) primarily on experimental sites. A strong bimodal peak in length frequency distribution results from this mesh size as the gear selects two size ranges of fish. The first and strongest peak, in most cases, is between 35cm and 47cm. Fish in this size range are meshed while the larger fish (52cm to 65cm) are caught by the lips and generally entangle as they twist around.

Overall mean catch rates in the small mesh gear have been lower since 2000 than those seen in 1996 through 1999. The last strong peak in the 35cm to 47cm range was in 1999 and in the second size range (52cm to 65cm), very few fish have been caught in this gear since 1999.

Figures 58-90 summarize the data from the linetrawl portion of the 3Ps Sentinel Survey. Linetrawl shows a much wider selectivity curve than gillnet and catches mainly fish between 29cm and 83cm.

Linetrawl catch per unit effort declined consistently from 1995 through 2000. Since 2000, catches were higher and composed mainly of smaller fish. Length frequencies in 2006 are shifted slightly toward larger fish compared to 2003. Data is still being collected for 2006.

Table 1. Number of Sentinel sets (all gears) by community since 1995 and the weeks allocated for each year.

Community	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
St. Bride's	163	88	70	80	2	54	63	80	59	50	61	64
Placentia		41										
Fox Hr	146	88	72	72	36	48	60	60	48	54	54	12
Little Hr East	163	36	57	48	10	46	67					
Fairhaven								73				
Arnold's Cove	153	63	69	27	8	42						
North Hr	118	74	70	50	20	54	55	43	46	30	57	17
Monkstown	148	69	72	72	36	51	60	60				
Little Paradise	52	38	44	39	35	44	63	64	42	58	52	44
Red Hr	31	30	29	31	21	29	30	61	22	33	36	30
Lawn		57	69	71	36	64	78	80	36	72	70	72
Lord's Cove	47	39	40	48	36	48	60	84	47	70	72	69
Grand Bank							60	60	38	44	44	18
Rencontre East	180	96	71	74	35	54	72	60	20	32	44	
Hr Breton	158	39	27	28	32	29	31	54	34	30	34	8
Seal Cove	204	71	44	42	33	54	46	48	9			
Francois	181	66	74	69	18	30	36	30	25	10	42	18
Ramea	206	46	96	60	38	82	92	82	46	36	44	32
Burgeo		46	60	62	26	32	64	46	36	24	32	32
Number of weeks allocated	30*	12	12	12	6	8	10	10	6	9	9	9

* Includes 15 week pilot project

Note: In both 2005 and 2006 the sites at Francois were surveyed by new participants.



Figure 1: Sentinel 2006 CPUE (number of fish per net) for 5 1/2 in. gillnet.



Figure 2: Sentinel 2006 CPUE (number of fish per 1000 hooks) for linetrawl.



Figure 3: Sentinel 2005 CPUE (number of fish per net) for 5 1/2 in. gillnet.



Figure 4: Sentinel 2005 CPUE (number of fish per 1000 hooks) for linetrawl.



Figure 5. Overall mean CPUE (top panel) and mean CPUE by community (lower panel) for 5 1/2" gillnet 1995-2006.



Figure 6. Overall mean CPUE (top panel) and mean CPUE by community (lower panel) for linetrawl 1995-2006.



Figure 7. Mean relative length frequencies by division 1995-2005 for 5 1/2" gillnet. Frequencies range from 20cm-90cm for each year.



Figure 8. Mean relative length frequencies by division 1995-2005 for linetrawl. Frequencies range from 20cm-90cm for each year.



Figure 9. Mean relative length frequencies for 3Ps 1995-2005 for gillnet (5 1/2" and 3 1/4") and linetrawl.



Figure 10. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	760	412	459	525	234	424	497	499	247	303	330	259
Sum of Nzero	216	10	5	14	16	64	37	64	38	33	50	25
Sum of Nmeas	37776	40221	44771	31163	6329	5578	5720	4958	1648	2560	2556	2036

Figure 11. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Gillnet 5 1/2 in.

Total



Figure 12. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, 3Ps Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 13. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, St. Bride's Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	160	84	69	69	2	42	54	59	50	38	50	54
Sum of Nzero	85	9	3	0	0	15	11	8	6	0	1	0
Sum of Nmeas	4229	4046	5876	3910	251	254	622	435	327	288	280	573

Figure 14. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, St. Bride's Gillnet 5 1/2 in.

Total



Figure 15. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, St. Bride's Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 16. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Fox Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	145	88	71	71	36	48	60	60	48	54	54	12
Sum of Nzero	62	1	1	2	2	3	1	1	6	3	7	0
Sum of Nmeas	4709	5912	4506	1974	589	253	634	506	212	204	264	82

Figure 17. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Fox Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 18. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Fox Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 19. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, North Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	111	63	59	44	18	55	42	34	38	25	44	14
Sum of Nzero	4	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	4	1	6	0
Sum of Nmeas	11806	15139	13934	9495	2228	1656	762	406	464	623	895	117

Figure 20. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, North Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 21. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, North Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 22. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Gillnet 5 1/2 ir



Figure 23. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 24. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Little Paradise Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 25. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls			12	24	15	21	16	23	6	18	24	18
Sum of Nzero			0	2	1	0	2	9	0	2	0	1
Sum of Nmeas			493	686	100	397	157	127	129	123	264	129

Figure 26. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 27. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Red Hr Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 28. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Lawn Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls		32	62	65	32	60	59	60	26	54	52	54
Sum of Nzero		0	1	10	4	19	10	16	12	17	19	13
Sum of Nmeas		1803	3256	851	172	202	460	270	40	337	140	381

Figure 29. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Lawn Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 30. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Lawn Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 31. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Lord's Cove Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	39	39	40	48	36	48	60	58	35	54	53	53
Sum of Nzero	0	0	0	0	2	6	9	11	8	9	17	11
Sum of Nmeas	3427	2882	1660	922	261	308	327	319	156	232	131	143

Figure 32. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Lord's Cove Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 33. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Lord's Cove Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 34. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Grand Bank Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls							24	23	18	24	23	18
Sum of Nzero							0	4	0	1	0	0
Sum of Nmeas							450	103	90	336	296	48

Figure 35. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Grand Bank Gillnet 5 1/2 in.

Total



Figure 36. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Grand Bank Gillnet 5 1/2 in.



Figure 37. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	2	10	22	29	6	48	62	88	47	60	60	54
Sum of Nzero	0	0	1	1	1	6	6	8	1	6	8	4
Sum of Nmeas	34	1421	2544	1829	233	1393	1831	1913	687	1351	723	856

Figure 38. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 39. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, 3Ps Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 40. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, St. Bride's Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls			1	11		7	9	20	9	11	11	10
Sum of Nzero			1	0		1	4	2	0	0	0	1
Sum of Nmeas			0	303		93	108	540	245	312	315	237

Figure 41. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, St. Bride's Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 42. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, St. Bride's Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 43. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, North Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	1	5	7	4	1	18	13	8	8	5	11	3
Sum of Nzero	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0
Sum of Nmeas	18	803	876	676	78	461	803	98	212	193	226	35

Figure 44. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, North Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 45. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, North Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 46. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Gillnet 3 1/4 ir



Figure 47. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Gillnet 3 1/4 in.

Total



Figure 48. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Little Paradise Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 49. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.


Number of Hauls or Zero Hauls
Number of fish caught
1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006
Sum of Nhauls 6 2 4
Sum of Nzero 2 0 0
Sum of Nmeas 114 16 34

Figure 50. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.

Total



Figure 51. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Red Hr Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 52. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Lawn Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 53. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Lawn Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 54. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Lawn Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 55. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Lord's Cove Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 56. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Lord's Cove Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 57. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per net) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Lord's Cove Gillnet 3 1/4 in.



Figure 58. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Linetrawl .



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	1147	555	467	315	194	407	376	386	214	179	235	100
Sum of Nzero	55	4	4	4	13	25	28	14	14	6	3	6
Sum of Nmeas	74813	61839	36548	20521	9536	19148	18274	21224	11612	9301	11341	7385

Figure 59. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, 3Ps Linetrawl .

Total



Figure 60. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, 3Ps Linetrawl .



Figure 61. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Linetrawl .



Figure 62. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Little Paradise Linetrawl .

Total



Figure 63. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Little Paradise Linetrawl .



Figure 64. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Linetrawl .



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	31	30	17	7	5	8	14	28	14	11	12	12
Sum of Nzero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Sum of Nmeas	2757	2564	1607	470	113	405	269	967	673	210	331	364

Figure 65. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Red Hr Linetrawl .



Figure 66. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Red Hr Linetrawl .



Figure 67. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Grand Bank Linetrawl .



Figure 68. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Grand Bank Linetrawl .



Figure 69. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Grand Bank Linetrawl .



Figure 70. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Rencontre East Linetrawl .



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	174	96	64	56	36	90	71	60	20	32	40	
Sum of Nzero	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Sum of Nmeas	9543	8986	5568	3987	2697	4675	2589	2479	691	1021	1524	

Figure 71. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Rencontre East Linetrawl .

Total



Figure 72. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Rencontre East Linetrawl .



Figure 73. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Hr Breton Linetrawl .



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	154	39	27	28	31	45	31	53	34	30	33	8
Sum of Nzero	27	0	0	0	7	2	2	4	5	1	2	0
Sum of Nmeas	12580	10630	3832	3433	1265	3839	1788	1933	825	1669	1741	555

Figure 74. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Hr Breton Linetrawl .

Total



Figure 75. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Hr Breton Linetrawl .



Figure 76. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 77. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 78. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 79. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .


Number of Hauls or Zero Hauls
Number of fish caught
1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006
Sum of Nhauls 42
Sum of Nzero 0
Sum of Nmeas 2271

Figure 80. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 81. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 82. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .


Number of Hauls or Zero Hauls
Number of fish caught
1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006
Sum of Nhauls 16
Sum of Nzero 0
Sum of Nmeas 1318

Figure 83. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 84. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Francois Linetrawl .



Figure 85. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Ramea Linetrawl .



	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sum of Nhauls	201	48	96	60	38	88	92	82	46	36	44	32
Sum of Nzero	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	2	1	0
Sum of Nmeas	12640	4064	5615	3057	1542	2265	3849	5716	3214	1353	1439	1397

Figure 86. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Ramea Linetrawl .

Total



Figure 87. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Ramea Linetrawl .



Figure 88. Relative length frequency (number at length / amount of gear) for control and experimental gears, Burgeo Linetrawl .



Figure 89. Number of hauls (Nhauls), number of zero catch hauls (Nzero) and total number of fish caught (Nmeas), for control and experimental gears, Burgeo Linetrawl .



Figure 90. Catch per unit effort (in numbers of fish per 1000 hooks) for all sets (control and experimental) averaged for each week, Burgeo Linetrawl .